

Construction of wedge-local QFT through Longo-Witten endomorphisms

Yoh Tanimoto*

e-mail: yoh.tanimoto@theorie.physik.uni-goettingen.de

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Göttingen,
Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1, 37077 Göttingen, Germany.

Abstract

We review our recent construction of operator-algebraic quantum field models with weak localization property. Chiral components of two-dimensional conformal fields and certain endomorphisms of their observable algebras play a crucial role. In one case, this construction leads to a family of strictly local (Haag-Kastler) nets.

1 Operator-algebraic approach to QFT

The problem of constructing interacting relativistic quantum field theory on four-dimensional spacetime has been a long-standing open problem. On the other hand, in lower dimensions there have been important developments in several different approaches (e.g. Constructive QFT, form factor bootstrap). Here we adopt the operator-algebraic approach, also known as Algebraic Quantum Field Theory or Local Quantum Physics [8], which has recently resulted in a construction of a large family of quantum field models in two-spacetime dimensions [10] and a further progress [11]. Furthermore, two-dimensional Conformal Field Theory can be successfully studied in this framework [9]. In this contribution, we present a new method of constructing two-dimensional operator-algebraic QFT based on chiral components of CFT [18, 1, 17].

The mathematical approach to QFT which is the most similar to the notion in physics is the Wightman axioms. A Wightman field is an operator-valued distribution which satisfies certain properties which originate in physics, e.g. Poincaré covariance or Einstein causality. Yet, the quantum field smeared by a smooth function is still an unbounded operator and sometimes plagued by the problem of domains. Instead, in algebraic QFT one considers algebras of bounded operators.

1.1 Haag-Kastler net

A **Haag-Kastler net**, or a **Poincaré covariant net (of observables)** assigns to each open region $O \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}(O)$ on a common Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ (see the book [15] for a general account on von Neumann algebras) such that

1. **(Isotony)** If $O_1 \subset O_2$, then $\mathcal{A}(O_1) \subset \mathcal{A}(O_2)$.
2. **(Locality)** If O_1 and O_2 are spacelike separated, then any elements $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}(O_1)$ and $x_2 \in \mathcal{A}(O_2)$ commute.
3. **(Poincaré covariance)** There is a unitary representation U of the Poincaré group $\mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow$ such that for any $g \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow$ and any $O \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ it holds that $\mathcal{A}(gO) = \text{Ad } U(g)(\mathcal{A}(O))$.

*Supported by Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst and in part by Courant Research Centre “Higher Order Structures in Mathematics”.

4. **(Positivity of energy)** The restriction of U to the spacetime translation has the joint spectrum contained in the positive forward lightcone $V_+ := \{a \in \mathbb{R}^d : a_0 \geq |a_s|\}$, where a_s is the spacelike component of $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
5. **(Vacuum)** There is a unit vector Ω , which is the unique (up to phase) vector invariant under $U(g)$, and $\mathcal{A}(O)\Omega$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$.

More precisely, the triple $(\mathcal{A}, U, \Omega)$ constitutes the mathematical object of interest, but we sometimes refer to $\mathcal{A}$ as a net for brevity.

If one has a Wightman field ϕ , then one can construct the corresponding net by defining $\mathcal{A}(O) := \{e^{i\phi(f)} : \text{supp } f \subset O\}''$, where $\mathcal{M}'$ means the set of bounded operators commuting with any element of $\mathcal{M}$. The double commutant $\mathcal{M}''$ is the smallest von Neumann algebra which includes $\mathcal{M}$. Actually it is required that $\phi(f)$ and $\phi(g)$ have commuting spectral projections for f, g with spacelike separated support, but in many cases this is satisfied.

Conversely, when a Haag-Kastler net $\mathcal{A}$ is given and if certain technical conditions are satisfied, then one can recover quantum fields. In this way, Haag-Kastler net is considered as the operator-algebraic formulation of quantum field theory.

Now we are concerned with the construction problem. In any spacetime dimension, there are the so-called (generalized) free fields, and corresponding nets. But other examples are rare. For $d \leq 3$ the methods of Constructive QFT have successfully constructed many examples or for $d = 2$ there are a plenty of examples of conformal fields, but apart from these models, it is very hard to construct such fields or nets. In this work we address this problem for $d = 2$ with purely von Neumann algebraic approach. An extension to higher dimensions remains open.

1.2 Borchers triple

One of the difficulties in constructing Haag-Kastler nets lies in the infiniteness of the family $\{\mathcal{A}(O)\}$ with certain compatibility conditions. Instead, Borchers observed that for $d = 2$, actually the whole net can be recovered from the single von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}(W_R)$ associated with the (right-)wedge-shaped regions $W_R := \{a \in \mathbb{R}^2 : a_1 > |a_0|\}$ and the spacetime symmetry U (under the condition called Haag-duality). Furthermore, by the Tomita-Takesaki theory of von Neumann algebras [16], the restriction of U to the translation subgroup $\mathbb{R}^2$ is enough [3].

A **Borchers triple** $(\mathcal{M}, T, \Omega)$ consists of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, a unitary representation T of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with joint spectrum in V_+ and a vacuum Ω such that Ω is invariant under $T(a)$, $\text{Ad } T(a)\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ for $a \in W_R$ and $\mathcal{M}\Omega$ and $\mathcal{M}'\Omega$ are dense in $\mathcal{H}$ (these properties are called **cyclicity** and **separating property** of Ω for $\mathcal{M}$, respectively). It is easy to see that if $(\mathcal{A}, U, \Omega)$ is a Poincaré covariant net, then $(\mathcal{A}(W_R), U|_{\mathbb{R}^2}, \Omega)$ is a Borchers triple.

Conversely, starting with a Borchers triple $(\mathcal{M}, T, \Omega)$, one can define a net as follows: in two-spacetime dimensions, any double cone can be represented as the intersection of two-wedges $(W_R + a) \cap (W_L + b) =: D_{a,b}$, where W_L is the reflected (left-)wedge. Then one defines first von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{A}(D_{a,b})$ for double cones $D_{a,b}$ by $\mathcal{A}(D_{a,b}) := \text{Ad } T(a)(\mathcal{M}) \cap \text{Ad } T(b)(\mathcal{M}')$. For a general region O one takes $\mathcal{A}(O) := \left(\bigcup_{D_{a,b} \subset O} \mathcal{A}(D_{a,b}) \right)''$. Then one can show that this “net” $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies isotony and locality. Furthermore, the representation T extends to a representation U the Poincaré group which makes $\mathcal{A}$ covariant and Ω is still invariant. In this way one obtains a “net” $(\mathcal{A}, U, \Omega)$, where the only missing property is that Ω is cyclic for $\mathcal{A}(O)$. In general, if one starts with a net, goes down to the Borchers triple and back to the net as above, this does not coincide with the original net, but such a difference is not important when one is interested in construction of interacting net.

Hence, in operator-algebraic approach, construction of Haag-Kastler nets can be split into two steps: (1) to construct Borchers triples, (2) to prove the cyclicity of Ω . In the following, we carry out (1) for massless models in Section 3 and both (1) and (2) for massive models in Section 4.

2 Conformal net and Longo-Witten endomorphism

Here we review the operator-algebraic treatment of Conformal Field Theory, which is the main ingredient of our construction of Borchers triples. As is well known, two-dimensional CFT contains observables which are invariant under right- or left-lightlike translations. They are called chiral components and can be considered as observables defined on lightrays. They can often be extended to S^1 , the one-point compactification of a lightray. The operator-algebraic set of axioms for such observables is the following: we consider the set of proper, nonempty intervals of S^1 and the Möbius group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts on S^1 by linear fractional transformations, which contains translation of $\mathbb{R} \subset S^1$. An assignment $\mathcal{A}_0$ of von Neumann algebras to intervals is said to be a **Möbius covariant net** if

1. **(Isotony)** If $I_1 \subset I_2$, then $\mathcal{A}_0(I_1) \subset \mathcal{A}_0(I_2)$.
2. **(Locality)** If I_1 and I_2 are disjoint, then any elements $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_0(I_1)$ and $x_2 \in \mathcal{A}_0(I_2)$ commute.
3. **(Möbius covariance)** There is a unitary representation U_0 of the Möbius group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that for any $g \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and any $I \in S^1$ it holds that $\mathcal{A}_0(gI) = \mathrm{Ad} U_0(g)(\mathcal{A}_0(I))$.
4. **(Positivity of energy)** The restriction of U_0 to the translation (which we denote by T_0) has the spectrum contained in $\mathbb{R}_+$.
5. **(Vacuum)** There is a unit vector Ω_0 , which is the unique (up to phase) vector invariant under $U_0(g)$ and $\mathcal{A}_0(I)\Omega_0$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$.

The term **conformal net** is usually reserved for nets with a much larger symmetry $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$, but in this contribution we do not distinguish them.

There are many examples of Möbius covariant nets: the $U(1)$ -current net (free boson), the free fermion net, the Virasoro nets, Minimal models, WZW models, etc. However, one cannot define the notion of interaction for one-dimensional nets (actually, it can be shown that a two-dimensional CFT does not interact in the sense of scattering theory [19]). Rather, they are building blocks of interacting two-dimensional QFT. Most important ones are the $U(1)$ -current and the free fermion, which admit Fock space structure.

Let us now introduce the main notion in our construction. Recall that the circle S^1 is identified with $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ by the stereographic projection. A **Longo-Witten endomorphism** of a conformal net is an endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$, implemented by a unitary V_0 which commutes with translation T_0 . This notion is introduced in order to construct QFT with boundary [14].

The simplest example of Longo-Witten endomorphism is the translation itself $\mathrm{Ad} T_0(t)$, $t \geq 0$. In many examples there are **inner symmetries**, for which there is a unitary V_0 such that $\mathrm{Ad} V_0(\mathcal{A}_0(I)) = \mathcal{A}_0(I)$ and $V_0\Omega_0 = \Omega_0$. Then V_0 automatically commutes with $U_0(g)$, especially with $T_0(t)$ and implements a Longo-Witten endomorphism.

The examples above are rather of general nature. By considering a specific net, the $U(1)$ -current net $\mathcal{A}_{U(1)}$, Longo and Witten found a large family of examples [14]. The $U(1)$ -current net is defined on the symmetric Fock space $\mathcal{H}_0$, on whose one-particle space the Möbius group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts irreducibly with lowest weight 1. There is an operator-valued distribution J , the current, which generates the net as explained above. One can promote an operator V_1 on the one-particle space $\mathcal{H}_1$ to an operator $\Gamma(V_1)$ on the full space $\mathcal{H}_0$ (**second quantization**). The Möbius group representation promotes to $\mathcal{H}_0$ as well.

An **inner symmetric function** φ is the boundary value of a bounded analytic function on the upper-half plane, with $|\varphi(t)| = 1$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\varphi(-t) = \overline{\varphi(t)}$.

Theorem 2.1 (Longo-Witten). *Let φ be an inner symmetric function and P_1 be the generator of the one-particle translation of the $U(1)$ -current net $\mathcal{A}_{U(1)}$. Then the unitary operator $\Gamma(\varphi(P_1))$ implements a Longo-Witten endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{U(1)}$.*

Using these operators, we construct two-dimensional models in the next Sections.

3 Massless construction and scattering theory

3.1 Massless scattering theory

First we consider two-dimensional massless models. The reason is, as we see below, the scattering theory is particularly simple and a general structural result can be obtained. The following is the adaptation of the theory [4] to Borchers triples [7].

Let $(\mathcal{M}, T, \Omega)$ be a (two-dimensional) Borchers triple. As explained before, an element $x \in \mathcal{M}$ should be considered as an observable in the wedge region W_R . If one defines the following,

$$x_{\pm}(h_{\mathcal{T}}) := \int h_{\mathcal{T}}(t) \text{Ad } T(t, \pm t)(x) dt,$$

where h is a nonnegative smooth function with compact support with $\int h(t) dt = 1$, $\mathcal{T}$ is a nonzero real number and $h_{\mathcal{T}}(t) = |\mathcal{T}|^{-\epsilon} h(|\mathcal{T}|^{-\epsilon}(t - \mathcal{T}))$ with some fixed number $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then the strong limits $\Phi_+^{\text{out}}(x) = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \infty} x_+(h_{\mathcal{T}})$ and $\Phi_-^{\text{in}}(x) = \lim_{\mathcal{T} \rightarrow -\infty} x_-(h_{\mathcal{T}})$ exist. The operators $\Phi_+^{\text{out}}(x)$ and $\Phi_-^{\text{in}}(x)$ are called **asymptotic fields**. Similarly one can define $\Phi_-^{\text{out}}(x')$ and $\Phi_+^{\text{in}}(x')$ using an element $x' \in \mathcal{M}'$. They have nice properties, so that $\Phi_{\pm}^{\text{out}}(\cdot)$ and $\Phi_{\pm}^{\text{in}}(\cdot)$ can be considered as operators at far-future and far-past, respectively. In particular, $\Phi_+^{\text{out}}(x)$ and $\Phi_-^{\text{out}}(x')$ commute and act like operators in tensor product. More precisely, let $\mathcal{H}_{\pm}$ be the space of vectors invariant under $T(t, \pm t)$. $\Phi_{\pm}^{\text{out}}(\cdot)$ can be naturally restricted to $\mathcal{H}_{\pm}$ respectively. Vectors $\Phi_{\pm}^{\text{out}}(\cdot)\Omega$ describe massless excitations going out in $\pm$ directions, respectively and a natural tensor product structure can be given to the subspace generated by such operators.

If $\{\Phi_+^{\text{out}}(x)\Phi_-^{\text{out}}(x')\Omega : x \in \mathcal{M}, x' \in \mathcal{M}'\}$ and $\{\Phi_+^{\text{in}}(x')\Phi_-^{\text{in}}(x)\Omega : x \in \mathcal{M}, x' \in \mathcal{M}'\}$ are dense in $\mathcal{H}$, then we say that the Borchers triple $(\mathcal{M}, T, \Omega)$ is **asymptotically complete**, or in other words, the any vector in $\mathcal{H}$ can be interpreted as in- and out-scattering states. For $\xi = \Phi_+^{\text{out}}(x)$ and $\eta = \Phi_-^{\text{out}}(x')$, let us write $\xi \overset{\text{out}}{\times} \eta = \Phi_+^{\text{out}}(x)\Phi_-^{\text{out}}(x')\Omega$. The operation $\overset{\text{out}}{\times}$ naturally extends to $\mathcal{H}_{\pm}$. Similarly we define $\overset{\text{in}}{\times}$. Then the **S-matrix** defined by $S\xi \overset{\text{out}}{\times} \eta = \xi \overset{\text{in}}{\times} \eta$ is a unitary operator. Note that, because of the nondispersive nature of two-dimensional massless excitations, it is enough to consider only such products of two operators.

Let us assume that an asymptotically complete Borchers triple $(\mathcal{M}, T, \Omega)$ comes from a net $(\mathcal{A}, T, \Omega)$. It is interesting to observe that the information of the S-matrix and asymptotic fields is enough to recover the given $\mathcal{M}$. In fact, we have the following simple formula [18].

Theorem 3.1. *Under standard assumptions (and asymptotic completeness), it holds that $\mathcal{M} = \{\Phi_+^{\text{out}}(x), \text{Ad } S(\Phi_-^{\text{out}}(x')) : x \in \mathcal{M}, x' \in \mathcal{M}'\}$.*

As remarked before, $\Phi_{\pm}^{\text{out}}(\cdot)$ are observables acting freely to each other. On the other hand, $\mathcal{M}$ is the wedge-algebra of the interacting theory. And the net can be recovered from the Borchers triple, namely from $\mathcal{M}$ and translation. Furthermore, it can be shown that $\Phi_{\pm}^{\text{out}}(\cdot)$ generate one-dimensional conformal net [19, 18]. Hence the above formula tells us how to construct interacting theory out of free, conformal theory and S-matrix. In the next Subsection we carry out this program.

3.2 Construction of massless Borchers triples

3.2.1 One-parameter Longo-Witten endomorphisms

Let us take a Möbius covariant net $(\mathcal{A}_0, U_0, \Omega_0)$ on $\mathcal{H}_0$. We denote by T_0 the restriction of U_0 to the translation subgroup as before. With its positive generator P_0 , one can write $T_0(t) = e^{itP_0}$. Only with this setting, we can already construct nontrivial Borchers triples [18].

The full Hilbert space is now the tensor product $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_0 \otimes \mathcal{H}_0$. For a point (t_+, t_-) in the lightray coordinate of the Minkowski space, we define $T(t_+, t_-) := T_0(t_+) \otimes T_0(t_-)$. Again the simple tensor product $\Omega := \Omega_0 \otimes \Omega_0$ plays the role of the vacuum vector.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\kappa \geq 0$ and*

$$\mathcal{M}_\kappa := \{x \otimes \mathbb{1}, \text{Ad } e^{i\kappa P_0 \otimes P_0}(\mathbb{1} \otimes y) : x \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}_-), y \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}_+)\}''.$$

Then $(\mathcal{M}_\kappa, T, \Omega)$ is an asymptotically complete Borchers triple with the S-matrix $e^{i\kappa P_0 \otimes P_0}$.

The proof goes as follows. The conditions on T and Ω are easily verified and it is also simple to check $\text{Ad } T(a)(\mathcal{M}_\kappa) \subset \mathcal{M}_\kappa$ for $a \in W_R$, since $T(a)$ and $e^{i\kappa P_0 \otimes P_0}$ commute. The cyclicity of Ω for $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$ follows immediately from the definition of conformal nets. The only nontrivial part is the separation by Ω . In order to show this, it is enough to find another von Neumann algebra which commutes with $\mathcal{M}$ and for which Ω is cyclic. Such a von Neumann algebra is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_\kappa^1 := \{\text{Ad } e^{i\kappa P_0 \otimes P_0}(x' \otimes \mathbb{1}), \mathbb{1} \otimes y' : x' \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}_+), y' \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}_-)\}''.$$

Let us see that, as an example, $x \otimes \mathbb{1}$ and $\text{Ad } e^{i\kappa P_0 \otimes P_0}(x' \otimes \mathbb{1})$ commute. Since one has $e^{i\kappa P_0 \otimes P_0} = \int e^{it\kappa P_0} \otimes dE_0(t)$ and $e^{it\kappa P_0}$ implements a Longo-Witten endomorphism, one has $\text{Ad } e^{i\kappa P_0 \otimes P_0}(x' \otimes \mathbb{1}) = \int \text{Ad } e^{it\kappa P_0 \otimes P_0}(x') \otimes dE_0(t)$ and $\text{Ad } e^{it\kappa P_0 \otimes P_0}(x')$ commutes with x . In this way, Longo-Witten endomorphisms enter the present construction.

Note that when $\kappa = 0$ then $\mathcal{M}_\kappa = \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}_-) \otimes \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $S_\kappa = \mathbb{1}$, namely, the simple tensor product results in a noninteracting triple. It has been revealed that $(\mathcal{M}_\kappa, T, \Omega)$ is equivalent to the BLS deformation [5] of $(\mathcal{M}_0, T, \Omega)$.

It is also possible to perform a similar construction with one-parameter inner symmetries [18]. It is remarkable that in this case, with additional technical conditions, one can determine the intersection of wedges. However, the intersection turns out to be trivial in a certain sense.

3.2.2 $U(1)$ -current as building blocks

The Longo-Witten endomorphisms on the $U(1)$ -current net explained above can be used to construct Borchers triples as well. Yet the spirit is always the same: one has only to find an S-matrix to twist the right component.

As recalled before, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$ for the $U(1)$ -current is the symmetric Fock space. One considers first the unsymmetrized Fock space $\mathcal{H}^\Sigma = \bigoplus_m \mathcal{H}_1^{\otimes m}$.

We fix an inner symmetric function φ . On $\mathcal{H}_1^{\otimes m}$, there act m commuting operators

$$\{\mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underset{i\text{-th}}{P_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1} : 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

Recall that functional calculus has been used in the construction by Longo and Witten. Here we put:

- $P_{i,j}^{m,n} := (\mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underset{i\text{-th}}{P_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1}) \otimes (\mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underset{j\text{-th}}{P_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1})$, which acts on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$,
 $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.
- $S_\varphi^{m,n} := \prod_{i,j} \varphi(P_{i,j}^{m,n})$, where $\varphi(P_{i,j}^{m,n})$ is the functional calculus on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$.
- $S_\varphi := \bigoplus_{m,n} S_\varphi^{m,n} = \bigoplus_{m,n} \prod_{i,j} \varphi(P_{i,j}^{m,n})$

Then one can show that S_φ restricts to the symmetric Fock space and can be decomposed into a direct integral of Longo-Witten unitaries. As in the case of one-parameter endomorphisms, we can show the following.

Theorem 3.3. *Let us put*

$$\mathcal{M}_\varphi := \{x \otimes \mathbb{1}, \text{Ad } S_\varphi(\mathbb{1} \otimes y) : x \in \mathcal{A}_{U(1)}(\mathbb{R}_-), y \in \mathcal{A}_{U(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)\}''.$$

Then $(\mathcal{M}_\varphi, T, \Omega)$ is an asymptotically complete Borchers triple with the S-matrix S_φ .

Recently we found that this construction is equivalent to the deformation of massless free field by Lechner [12]. This will be presented elsewhere [13].

Note that the S-matrix of all these constructions preserve the particle number in the sense of Fock space. It is also possible to construct examples which do violate this structure as follows [1].

It is known that the $U(1)$ -current net is identified as a subtheory of the free complex fermion $\mathcal{F}$ (the boson-fermion correspondence). The free fermion net $\mathcal{F}$ is defined on the fermionic Fock space. Similarly as above, by choosing an inner (this time not necessarily symmetric) function φ , one can construct a Borchers triple with S-matrix $S_{\varphi, \mathcal{F}}$ with the “free” part $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}$. Then $S_{\varphi, \mathcal{F}}$ can be restricted to the bosonic part $\mathcal{A}_{U(0)} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{U(0)}$. Let us denote the restriction by $S_{\varphi, r}$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let us put*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\varphi, r} := \{x \otimes \mathbb{1}, \text{Ad } S_{\varphi, r}(\mathbb{1} \otimes y) : x \in \mathcal{A}_{U(1)}(\mathbb{R}_-), y \in \mathcal{A}_{U(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)\}''.$$

Then $(\mathcal{M}_{\varphi, r}, T, \Omega)$ is an asymptotically complete Borchers triple with the S-matrix $S_{\varphi, r}$. The S-matrix $S_{\varphi, r}$ does not preserve n -particle space of the bosonic Fock space.

So the S-matrix appears to represent particle production. However, the cyclicity of the vacuum for the algebras of bounded regions is not known. Furthermore, the concept of particle is not clear in massless two-dimensional models. Thus it is desired to construct massive models, where these issues can be settled.

4 Massive construction and strict locality

It is also possible to construct massive models using Longo-Witten endomorphisms. The most important observation is the following: one considers the massive free field (net) and takes the right-wedge algebra $\mathcal{A}(W_R)$ and positive lightlike translations, then it is equivalent to the $U(1)$ -current. The negative lightlike translations in the past direction appear as one-parameter Longo-Witten endomorphisms $\text{Ad } V_0(s)$ with negative generator (this will be investigated in more detail [2]).

We only briefly sketch the construction [17]. Let $B_0 := \mathcal{A}_{U(1)} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{U(1)}$ be the tensor product Möbius covariant net. Thanks to the Fock space structure, this has an action of inner symmetry W_0 of $U(1)$. Furthermore, this net admits one-parameter Longo-Witten endomorphisms $\text{Ad } V_0(s) \otimes V_0(s)$ with negative generator.

Now we take a representation of T of $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that the positive lightlike translation is $T_0(t)^{\otimes 4}$ and the negative lightlike translation is $V_0(s)^{\otimes 4}$, which is equivalent to the representation of translation in the tensor product of two copies of the massive complex free field, and a vector $\Omega = \Omega_{U(1)}^{\otimes 4}$.

Theorem 4.1. *For $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\mathcal{M}_\kappa, T, \Omega)$ is a Borchers triple, where*

$$\mathcal{M}_\kappa := \{x \otimes \mathbb{1}, \text{Ad } W_0(\kappa)(\mathbb{1} \otimes y) : x \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}_-), y \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}_+)\}''.$$

By checking modular nuclearity [6] one can show that Ω is cyclic for double cone algebras $\mathcal{A}_\kappa(O)$ for certain κ . Since T is a massive representation, the scattering theory works well. One can show that the S-matrix is nontrivial, hence so is the resulting net $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$. Particle production has not yet obtained with this method.

References

- [1] Marcel Bischoff and Yoh Tanimoto. Construction of wedge-local nets of observables through Longo-Witten endomorphisms. II. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, to appear., 2012.
- [2] Marcel Bischoff and Yoh Tanimoto. Integrable QFT and Longo-Witten endomorphisms. *in preparation.*, 2012.

- [3] H.-J. Borchers. The CPT-theorem in two-dimensional theories of local observables. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 143(2):315–332, 1992.
- [4] D. Buchholz. Collision theory for waves in two dimensions and a characterization of models with trivial S -matrix. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 45(1):1–8, 1975.
- [5] D. Buchholz, G. Lechner, and S.J. Summers. Warped convolutions, Rieffel deformations and the construction of quantum field theories. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 304:95–123, 2011. 10.1007/s00220-010-1137-1.
- [6] Detlev Buchholz and Gandalf Lechner. Modular nuclearity and localization. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 5(6):1065–1080, 2004.
- [7] Wojciech Dybalski and Yoh Tanimoto. Asymptotic completeness in a class of massless relativistic quantum field theories. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 305:427–440, 2011. 10.1007/s00220-010-1173-x.
- [8] Rudolf Haag. *Local quantum physics*. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1996. Fields, particles, algebras.
- [9] Yasuyuki Kawahigashi. Classification of operator algebraic conformal field theories in dimensions one and two. In *XIVth International Congress on Mathematical Physics*, pages 476–485. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2005.
- [10] Gandalf Lechner. Construction of quantum field theories with factorizing S -matrices. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 277(3):821–860, 2008.
- [11] Gandalf Lechner. Deformations of operator algebras and the construction of quantum field theories. In *XVIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics*, pages 490–495. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2010.
- [12] Gandalf Lechner. Deformations of quantum field theories and integrable models. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 312(1):265–302, 2011.
- [13] Gandalf Lechner, Jan Schlemmer, and Yoh Tanimoto. On the equivalence of two deformation schemes in quantum field theory. *in preparation*, 2012.
- [14] Roberto Longo and Edward Witten. An algebraic construction of boundary quantum field theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 303:213–232, 2011. 10.1007/s00220-010-1133-5.
- [15] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras. I*, volume 124 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Reprint of the first (1979) edition, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5.
- [16] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras. II*, volume 125 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 6.
- [17] Yoh Tanimoto. Construction of interacting QFT through Longo-Witten endomorphisms. *in preparation*, 2012.
- [18] Yoh Tanimoto. Construction of wedge-local nets of observables through Longo-Witten endomorphisms. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, pages 1–27, 2012. 10.1007/s00220-012-1462-7.
- [19] Yoh Tanimoto. Noninteraction of waves in two-dimensional conformal field theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, pages 1–23, 2012. 10.1007/s00220-012-1439-6.